

**York City/County Continuum of Care – NEW PROJECTS
2017 Ranking Criteria**

<u>Agency:</u>	PROJECT TOTAL
<u>Project:</u>	

All Projects

Question	Ranking Criteria	Description	Source	Point Scale	Max Points	Project Score
NP1	Project Type	Project type and priority	New Project Solicitation Form (NPSF)	PSH – 100% beds dedicated/prioritized to chronically homeless – 7 pts PSH – DedicatedPLUS – 7 pts RRH – 9 pts TH/RRH – 8 pts	9	
NP2	PA-512 Priority Population	1. Families 2. Youth	NPSF	Serving priority population – 5 pts Not serving priority population – 0 pts	5	
NP3	Project Description	Reflects understanding and implementation of HUD Priorities in new project	NPSF	Design of the project is responsive to the need of homeless assistance in the community – 5 pts Description is consistent with Housing First principles – 5 pts Applicant encourages, utilizes, and maintains connections to community resources – 5 pts	15	
NP4	Population Experience	Experience with homeless households, especially serving any identified priority populations outlined in the program	NPSF	Agency has past experience with homeless households –5 pt Agency has past experience with priority population – 5 pt Agency has past experience with both homeless households and priority population – 10 pts	10	
NP5	Housing Experience	Experience administering housing similar to new project type	NPSF	Agency has previous experience administering housing programs – 10 pts No previous experience – 0 pts	10	

Question	Ranking Criteria	Description	Source	Point Scale	Max Points	Project Score
NP6	Requirements Acknowledged	Agency agrees to commit to outlined standards expected of new CoC programs	NPSF	Housing First principles – 4 pt Accessing mainstream benefits for participants – 3 pt Coordinated Entry participation – 1 pt HMIS participation – 1 pt Continuum of Care participation – 1 pt	10	
NP7 OR	New Grantee	New grantee not previously funded through York City/County CoC	NPSF	New Applicant – 25 pts Current Applicant – 0 pts	25	
NP8	Existing Grantee	Existing grantee receiving CoC funds in FY2016	NPSF	Current Grantee – 4 pts APR on Time – 4 pts Quarterly Draws – 4 pts No unresolved monitoring findings – 4 pts 5% or less funds recaptured by HUD in last completed grant year – 4 pts	20	
TOTAL					84	

Permanent Supportive Housing – 100% Dedicated Chronic OR DedicatedPLUS

Question	Ranking Criteria	Description	Source	Point Scale	Max Points	Project Score
PSH1	Site Plan	Agency shows a clear plan in place for providing a location or locations for the program.	NPSF	Agency owns a site – 4 pts Agency has a plan for scattered sites – 2 pts Agency does not have a site plan in place – 0 pts	4	
PSH2	Case Management	Agency has a case management process in place for project participants	NPSF	Agency has CM funded by another source – 8 pts Agency is requesting CM funds – 4 pts Agency has not addressed CM funding – 0 pts	8	
PSH3	Partnerships	Agency has partnerships in place to make new project successful	NPSF	Agency shows clear partnerships with community agencies – 8 pts Agency shows a plan for partnerships – 2 pts Agency has not addressed partnerships for the project – 0 pts	8	
Total PSH 100% Chronic					20	
+ All Project Score Above						

Rapid Re-housing

Question	Ranking Criteria	Description	Source	Point Scale	Max Points	Project Score
RRH1	Property Owner Access	Agency shows a plan for, or existing relationships with, property owners to make the program most successful.	NPSF	Agency has relationships with property owners – 4 pts Agency has a plan for engaging property owners – 2 pts Agency does not have a plan in place – 0 pts	4	
RRH2	Case Management	Agency has a case management process in place for project participants	NPSF	Agency has CM funded by another source – 8 pts Agency is requesting CM funds – 4 pts Agency has not addressed CM funding – 0 pts	8	
PSHD3	Partnerships	Agency has partnerships in place to make new project successful	NPSF	Agency shows clear partnerships with community agencies – 8 pts Agency shows a plan for partnerships – 2 pts Agency has not addressed partnerships for the project – 0 pts	8	
Total Rapid Re-housing					20	
+ All Project Score Above						

Joint Transitional Housing/Rapid Re-housing

Question	Ranking Criteria	Description	Source	Point Scale	Max Points	Project Score
TRH1	Site Plan and Property Owner Access	Agency has a clear plan in place for providing a location for the TH component, as well as relationships to assist with housing through RRH.	NPSF	Agency has a plan for both TH and RRH components – 4 pts Agency has a plan for only TH portion – 2 pt Agency has a plan for only RRH portion – 2 pt Agency does not have a plan in place for either TH or RRH – 0 pts	4	
RRH2	Case Management	Agency has a case management process in place for project participants	NPSF	Agency has CM funded by another source – 8 pts Agency is requesting CM funds – 4 pts Agency has not addressed CM funding – 0 pts	8	
PSHD3	Partnerships	Agency has partnerships in place to make new project successful	NPSF	Agency shows clear partnerships with community agencies – 8 pts Agency shows a plan for partnerships – 2 pts Agency has not addressed partnerships for the project – 0 pts	8	
Total Joint Transitional Housing/ Rapid Re-housing					20	
+ All Project Score Above						

Bonus

Question	Ranking Criteria	Description	Source	Point Scale	Max Points	Project Score
Bonus	Declined Renewal in Favor of New Project	Agency is forfeiting a renewal project in favor of a new project.	NPSF	Agency is forfeiting a renewal in favor of a new project – 5 points	5	
Bonus Points Awarded						
Total Housing Type Score						
+ All Project Score Above						

**York City/County Continuum of Care – RENEWALS
2017 Ranking Criteria**

<u>Agency:</u>	PROJECT TOTAL
<u>Project:</u>	

All Projects

Question	Ranking Criteria	Description	Source	Point Scale	Max Points	Project Score
HUD Priorities						
1	Project Type	Project type and local CoC priority	Renewal Summary Form	Rapid Re-housing – 6 pts Permanent Supportive Housing – 4 pts Transitional Housing (for DV) – 2 pts	6	
2	Severity of Need: Vulnerability Calculation	See Appendix A **CoC Avg: .99**	HMIS Application Data Sheet	25% or more above CoC average – 8 pts Average-25\$ above CoC average – 6 pts 25% below average to CoC average – 4 pts 50% below average to 24.9% below – 2 pts Below 49.9% of CoC average – 0 pts *1 bonus point for highest scoring project*	8	
3	Participant Eligibility	Verifying that clients came from appropriate and eligible locations prior to project entry	HMIS Application Data Sheet	All clients literally homeless at entry (or fleeing domestic violence) – 6 pts Any clients from non-eligible sources – 0 pts	6	
4	% Zero Income at Entry (NEW)	Percentage of project participants that entered the program during the data year that had zero income at entry	Renewal Summary Form	50% + - 2 pts 20% to 49% - 1 pt <30% - 0 pts	2	
5	% Chronic Project Participants (NEW)	Project participants enrolled in program during the data year that entered designated as chronically homeless	Renewal Summary Form	50%+ - 2 pts 20%-49% - 1 pt <20% - 0 pts	2	
6	Housing First	Agency agrees to operate their program under the guidelines of Housing First	Renewal Summary Form	Yes – 2 pts No – 0 pts	2	

Question	Ranking Criteria	Description	Source	Point Scale	Max Points	Project Score
7	Accessing non-cash benefits	Increasing non-cash benefits (food stamps, health insurance, TANF- funded services, etc)	HMIS Application Data Sheet	70%+ project participants served during the data year enrolled in SNAP = 1 pt 70%+ project participants served during the data year enrolled in health insurance = 1 pt	2	
8	Promoting access to mainstream benefits (NEW)	Ensuring project participants can access and maintain mainstream benefits	Renewal Summary Form	Each activity listed below provided by your project = 1 pt <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transportation assistance • Annual follow-ups to maintain benefits • Access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance 	3	
9	Application Narrative (NEW)	Reflects understanding of, and implementation of HUD Priorities	Renewal Summary Form	Design/Operation of project is responsive to the need for homeless assistance in the community – 2 pts Description consistent with Housing First – 2 pts Project prioritizes resources rather than first come first serve – 2 pts Applicant maintains and utilizes connections to community resources – 2 pts	8	
Performance Outcomes – All Projects						
10	% return to homelessness	% of households return to homelessness within 6 months of program exit. <i>*DV providers are exempt from this data</i>	HMIS Application Data Sheet	0-5% return to homelessness within 6 months of program exit – 5 pts 6-10% – 3 pts >10% - 0 pts DV: exempt from question – 2 pts	5	
11	% Increase Income	% of leavers & stayers reporting increase in income (age 18+)	HMIS Application Data Sheet	50% + had an increase in income – 10 pts 40%-49% increase income – 8 pts 30%-39% increase income - 6 pts 20%-29% increase income – 4 pts <20% increase income – 0 pts	10	
Grant Management						
12	Drawdown Rates	Quarterly Drawdown Rate	Renewal Summary Form	Minimum of 1 drawdown/quarter – 3 pts Drawdowns not completed quarterly – 0 pts	3	

Question	Ranking Criteria	Description	Source	Point Scale	Max Points	Project Score
13	Funds Expended	Goal of 95% or more spent down	Renewal Summary Form	If project is still operating in first contract cycle – 8 pts 100% funds expended – 8 pts 95%-99% expended – 6 pts 90%-94% expended – 3 pts <90% expended – 0 pts	8	
14	Cost Effectiveness I: Per Household Cost	Application Projected HH Served / FY2016 Award **CoC Avg: \$8,520**	HMIS Application Data Sheet	Equal or less than CoC average – 3 pts 25% above average = 1.5 pts >25% above average – 0 pts	3	
15	Cost Effectiveness II: Cost per Successful Outcome (NEW)	PSH: FY2016 Award / Stayers + Leavers to PH TH/RRH: FY2016 Award / Leavers to PH **CoC Avg: \$9,385**	HMIS Application Data Sheet	Equal or less than CoC average – 3 pts 25% above average = 1.5 pts >25% above average – 0 pts	3	
16	Unit Utilization Rate	Average daily unit utilization rate during the operating year	HMIS Application Data Sheet	100% utilization rate – 8 pts 96%-99% - 4 pts 90%-95% - 2 pts <90% - 0 pts	8	
17	HUD Monitoring	If project monitored in the last three years, provide HUD Monitoring Report	Renewal Summary Form	No monitoring or no unresolved findings- 0 pts Unresolved findings – (-5) pts	0	
CoC Commitment						
18	Meets Community Need/ CoC Priority	Project meets one of the following priorities: Families with Children, Youth (including Parenting Youth)	Renewal Summary Form	Fills CoC priority-4 pts Meets other population continuing need (chronic, singles)-2 pts Not apply-0 pts	4	
19	CoC Participation	Representative from organization or project participating in CoC during calendar year 2016.	HMIS Application Data Sheet	Participate >90%- 3 pts Participate 75-90%- 2 pts Participate 50-75%- 1 pt Participate <50%- 0 pts	3	

HMIS						
20	HMIS Data Quality: Null/Missing	Null or missing values in HMIS data	HMIS Application Data Sheet	0%-9% null missing all fields- 4 pts 10%-19% missing all fields - 2 pts, 20%+ null missing all fields - 0 pts	4	
21	HMIS Data Quality: Don't Know/ Refused (NEW)	Don't Know/ Refused values in HMIS data	HMIS Application Data Sheet	0%-9% don't know/ refused all fields- 4 pts 10%-19% don't know/ refused all fields - 2 pts 20%+ don't know/refused all fields - 0 pts	4	
Total All Projects					94	

HMIS Application Data: Questions above that rely on HMIS data will be pulled using the 2015 Federal Fiscal/AHAR/System Performance Year: October 1, 2015 – September 30, 2016.

Domestic Violence Providers: An alternate HMIS Application Data Sheet was created for DV agencies to provide data for ranking from their comparable database. Those fields will be ranked just as HMIS data will be. Any fields not applicable to DV programs are identified above.

Notes:

Transitional Housing Only

Question	Ranking Criteria	Description	Source	Point Scale	Max Points	Project Score
TH1	Housing Stability	% exited to PH destination	HMIS Application Data Sheet	96%-100% exited to PH – 7 pts 90% - 95% exited to PH – 5 pts 85%-89% exited to PH – 3 pts 80%-84% exited to PH – 1 pts <80% exited to PH – 0 pts	7	
TH2	Length of Stay	Length of stay for leavers who exited to PH destinations	HMIS Application Data Sheet	Less than 11 months – 3 pts 9-11 months – 2 pts More than 11 months – 0 pts	3	
Total PSH					10	
+ All Project Score Above						

Permanent Supportive Housing Only

Question	Ranking Criteria	Description	Source	Point Scale	Max Points	Project Score
PSH1	Housing Stability	% remained in PSH or exited to PH destination	HMIS Application Data Sheet	96%-100% exited to PH – 10 pts 90% - 95% exited to PH – 8 pts 85%-89% exited to PH – 6 pts 80%-84% exited to PH – 4 pts <80% exited to PH – 0 pts	10	
Total PSH					10	
+ All Project Score Above						

Rapid Rehousing Only

Question	Ranking Criteria	Description	Source	Point Scale	Max Points	Project Score
RRH1	Timeliness of Housing	% housed in 30 days or less	Renewal Summary Form	>50% housed in 30 days – 2 points <50% housed in 30 days – 0 points	2	
RRH2	Housing Stability	% exited to PH destination	HMIS Application Data Sheet	96%-100% exited to PH – 8 pts 90% - 95% exited to PH – 6 pts 85%-89% exited to PH – 4 pts 80%-84% exited to PH – 2 pts <80% exited to PH – 0 pts	8	
Total RRH					10	
+ All Project Score Above						

Appendix A: Vulnerability Calculation (#2 under All Projects)

Utilizing client-level information in the Client Detail Annual Progress Report (run for System Performance Year 10/1/2015 – 10/1/2016) from the HMIS, the number of vulnerabilities a person had is multiplied by the number of people experiencing that number of conditions (Column E below). That number is divided by the total number of people (Total under column C below) to provide a score. Each agency’s score is applied to question #2 on the ranking sheet above. Vulnerabilities calculated include HUD-identified disabilities, and history of victimization.

Vulnerabilities Calculation

A	B	C	D	E
# of Conditions		Number of Persons with this number of Conditions		
0	Multiplied By		equals	0
1	Multiplied By		equals	0
2	Multiplied By		equals	0
3	Multiplied By		equals	0
4	Multiplied By		equals	0
5	Multiplied By		equals	0
6	Multiplied By		equals	0
7	Multiplied By		equals	0
	Total	0		0

Score =