

**York City/County Continuum of Care  
2016 Renewal Ranking Criteria**

	Ranking Criteria	Description	Source	Max Points	Point Scale
	<b>ALL PROJECTS</b>				
1	Project Type	Project type and priority	HMIS	5	PSH – 100% beds dedicated/prioritized to chronically homeless – 5 pts RRH – 5 pts TH all others – 2 pts
2	Cost Effectiveness	Total cost per household assisted with CoC funds (Project's CoC budget plus match/# households budgeted for in application)	Total budget – Application Households - Application	5	Lower cost than average- 5 pts Higher cost than average- 0 pts
3	Drawdown Rates	Quarterly Drawdown Rate	e-LOCCS – Prefilled on Ranking Chart	10	Minimum of 1 drawdown/quarter – 10 pts Minimum of 1 drawdown 3 of 4 quarters - 7 pts Minimum of 1 drawdown 2 of 4 quarters- 5 pts Minimum of 1 drawdown 1 of 4 quarters – 3 pts No drawdown – 0 pts
4	Funds Recaptured by HUD	Goal of 95% or more spent down	Renewal Summary Form	10	0-5% of funds recaptured by HUD – 10 pts 5-10% funds recaptured – 5 pts More than 10% recaptured – 0 pts
5	Participant Eligibility	Verifying that clients came from appropriate and eligible locations prior to project entry	Q20-APR	5	Clients eligible – 5 pts Some clients from non-eligible sources – 0 pts
6	Unit Utilization Rate	Average daily unit utilization rate during the operating year	HMIS	5	>90%-5 pts 80%-90%- 4 pts 70%-80%- 3 pts <70%- 0 pts
7	% Increase Income	% of leavers and stayers reporting increase in earned income or non-employment cash during last calendar year (age 18+)	Q36A.2 - APR	5	>30%-5 pts 20-29% - 3 pts <20%- 0 pts
8	% return to homelessness	% of households return to homelessness within 6 months of program exit. DV providers are exempt from this data, as there is no way to measure if clients reentered the system, only their specific program.	HMIS	5	0-5% return to homelessness within 6 months of program exit – 5 pts 6-10% return to homelessness within 6 months of program exit – 3 pts 11-20% “ - 1 pts >20% - 0 pts
9	Access to mainstream benefits	Increasing non-cash benefits and increasing access to additional non-CoC funded services (food stamps,	HMIS, DV will notify YCPC	10	75%+ project participants served during the operating year enrolled in 1+ mainstream benefits – 10 pts

		health insurance, TANF- funded services, etc)			60-74% “ “ - 5 pts <60% “ “ - 0 pts
10	HMIS Data Quality	Null or missing values, refused/unknown	HMIS (DV – Comparable Data Base)	5	0% null missing all fields- 5 pts >0% on up to 2 fields - 3 pts, > 0% on 3 or more fields - 0 pts DV – If Comparable Data base 5 Points
11	CoC Participation	Representative from organization or project participating in CoC	CoC	5	Participate >90%- 5 pts Participate 75-90%- 2 pts Participate 50-75%- 1 pt Participate <50%- 0 pts
12	HUD Monitoring	If project monitored in the last three years, provide HUD Monitoring Report	Survey	5	No monitoring or no unresolved findings- 5 pts Unresolved findings – 0 pts
13	Meets Community Need/ CoC Priority	Project fills need otherwise unaddressed in the CoC; Project meets one of the following priorities: Families with Children, Veterans	CoC	5	Fills CoC priority-5 pts Meets other population continuing need (chronic, singles, etc.)-3 pts Not apply-0 pts
14	Housing First	Organization certifies through submission of CoC renewal project application that project is operating using housing first approach	Application	10	Yes – 10 pts No – 0 pts
15	Severity of need prioritization	Utilizing the chart in the APR that identifies the number of people with specific disabilities that were served in the program during the grant year. This number is then utilized to calculate a point scale.	HMIS APR Client Detail (Q.18a)Report + Excel formula	5	Greater than the average score of 1.17 – 5 pts Less than the average score of 1.17 – 2 pts
			<b>TOTAL ALL</b>	<b>95</b>	
	<b>TRANSITIONAL HOUSING ONLY</b>				
TH1	Housing Stability	% exited to PH destination	Q36A.1 in APR	3	>80% Exited- 3 pts 70%-80% Exited- 2 pts <70% Exited- 0 pts
TH2	Length of Stay	Length of stay for those who exited to PH destinations	HMIS	3	Av ≤ 11 months - 3 pts Av > 11 months – 0 pts
			<b>TOTAL TH</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>+ all project score above =</b>
	<b>PSH ONLY</b>				
PH2	Housing Stability	% remained in PSH and/or exited to	Q36A.1 in		>80% Remained- 10 pts

		PH destination	APR	10	70%-80% Remained- 5 pts <70% Remained- 0 pts
			<b>TOTAL PSH</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>+ all project score above =</b>
	<b>RRH Only</b>				
RR1	RRH: PH for Families (HUD Priority)	Program seeks to use RRH funds to create permanent housing opportunities for families.	Application	5	Meets priority – 5 pts Doesn't meet priority – 0 pts
RR2	Housing Stability	% remained in RRH and/or exited to PH destination	Q36A.1 in APR	5	>80% Remained- 5 pts 70%-80% Remained- 3 pts <70% Remained- 0 pts
			<b>TOTAL RRH</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>+ all project score above</b>

**New Project Ranking (Bonus or Reallocated \$)**

	<b>PH Bonus Criteria</b>				
NP1	Project Type	Project type and priority	Application	5	PSH – 100% beds dedicated/prioritized to chronically homeless – 5 pts RRH – 5 pts TH all others – 2 pts
NP2	Priority Population	1. Families (special consideration: Youth Parenting families 18-24) 2. Veterans	CoC	5	Serving priority population – 5 pts Not serving priority population – 0 pts
NP3	Participation in CoC	Participation in monthly CoC meetings	Meeting Register	5	75% attendance or more – 5 pts 50% attendance or more – 3 pts Less than 50% attendance – 0 pts
NP4	Participation on CE	Will participate in Coordinated Entry	Application	5	Yes – 5 pts No – 0 pts
NP5	Participation in HMIS	Will participate in HMIS (except DV programs)	Application	5	Yes – 5 pts No – 0 pts
NP6	Mainstream Benefits	Commits to increasing non-cash benefits and increasing access to additional non-CoC funded services (food stamps, health insurance, TANF- funded services, etc)	Application	10	Yes - 10 pts No – 0 pts
NP7 <b>OR</b>	New Grantee	New grantee not previously funded through CoC in FY 2016	Information from Applicant	15	New Applicant – 15 pts Current Applicant – 0 pts
NP8	Existing Grantee	Existing grantee receiving CoC funds in FY 2016	GIW	20	Current Grantee – 4 pts APR on Time – 4 pts Quarterly Draws – 4 pts No unresolved monitoring findings – 4 pts 5% or less funds recaptured by HUD – 4 pts
NP9	Low Barriers/Housing First	Barriers to project entry	Application	10	No barriers- 10 pts Barriers- 0 pts
			<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>60-65*</b>	*Depends on if you are new/existing grantee

**Appendix A: Determining Severity of Need (#19 under All Projects)**

Utilizing question 18.a. in the Client Detail Annual Progress Report (run for calendar year 2015 for all projects) from the HMIS, the number of disabling conditions a person had is multiplied by the number of people experiencing that number of conditions (Column E below). That number is then divided by the total number of people (Total under column C below) to provide a score. Each agency's score will be applied to question #19 on the ranking sheet above.

**APR Q 18a: Physical and Mental Health Conditions**

You will need to go to each individual record/file

A	B	C	D	E
# of Conditions		Number of Persons with this number of Conditions		
0	Multiplied By		equals	0
1	Multiplied By		equals	0
2	Multiplied By		equals	0
3	Multiplied By		equals	0
4	Multiplied By		equals	0
5	Multiplied By		equals	0
6	Multiplied By		equals	0
7	Multiplied By		equals	0
	Total	0		0

Score =