

**YORK AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING
10/01/2015 MEETING SUMMARY**

1. **Call to Order** – The meeting was called to order by Secretary Felicia Dell at 9:04AM.
2. **Introductions** – Ms. Dell began a self-introduction by members of both the Technical and Coordinating Committees.
3. **Public Comment and Announcements**– State Transportation Commissioner Jim Kingsborough attended the meeting. Mr. Kingsborough shared the results of the “Talk PA Transportation, Twelve Year Program” which wrapped up in April of this year after reaching out to over 1200 customers who provided feedback. Mr. Kingsborough stated that projects identified at the top of the list will all be prioritized moving forward into 2016. Additionally, Mr. Kingsborough added one can view the designated bridges where funding will be allocated at www.p3forpa.com. Mr. Will Clark added that the information and data generated from this survey for the York area will provide the data needed in long-range planning and will likely be used in updating the Long-Range Transportation Plan.

Ms. Jessica Bosley attended the meeting on behalf of Commuters Services of PA. Ms. Bosley shared that Commuter Services of PA held a Try Transit Month campaign for the month of September and will soon learn the results once all the data is compiled. Additionally, Ms. Bosley handles municipal and library outreach and there are now 92 participants in the Commuter Services region with 18 serving as community partners. In York County, 11 municipalities and 3 libraries are community partners.

Mr. Dan Walston, USDOT / Federal Highway Administration shared an email from the USDOT / FHA Administrator Gregory Nadeau in recognition of October as Pedestrian Safety Month. The email highlights several initiatives occurring throughout the nation which relate to pedestrian and bicycle safety. Additionally, the email includes statistics and websites where one can view revised versions of Pedestrian Safer Journey, Bicycle Safer Journey, and other safety related websites.

4. **Minutes of the August 6th, 2015 Joint Committee Meeting** – Ms. Dell asked if there was a motion to approve the June 4th, 2015 minutes as presented. ***Mr. Gross moved to approve the minutes and Mr. Miller seconded.*** There being no question or discussion, ***the motion carried.***
5. **Project Updates**- Mr. Tony Berger, PennDOT District 8-0 provided several informational updates concerning current TIP projects:
 - Route 15 Jughandles- located in the Northwestern part of York County is currently in the preliminary stage of planning and is anticipated to achieve environmental clearance in the May or June timeframe. It will then move into the final design stage. The project is on target for a June 8th, 2017 let date.

- Route 15 Adaptive Signals- was let for bidding this past August. A preconstruction meeting was held last week. The contractor anticipates starting the project through the course of the Fall with completion in the Spring of 2016. The actual completion of the project has been extended to December 2016 which will allow for a 180-day signal test.
- Route 30 Adaptive Signal Project- aimed at US 30 Corridor and is a companion project to some intersections on Route 74. In preliminary engineering, the consultant is doing an inventory of existing equipment along ongoing coordination with municipalities. State Representative Seth Grove asked if the first adaptive signal project is on US 15 to which Mr. Berger replied yes, and the others are located on Route 30. Mr. Berger also stated that the two projects (Route 30 and Route 74) will be completed together with a let date of March 24th, 2016.
- Mt. Rose Avenue/ East Prospect Road, Route 124 Improvements, and Cape Horn Road Improvements- These project updates were intermingled.
 - Mt Rose Avenue / East Prospect Rd Corridor- Started back in 2013. This is the reconstruction/widening of Mt. Rose Avenue. The final paving is finished and the complete, this project still needs the guiderail line painting and final punch list items.
 - Cape Horn Improvements- widening on PA 24. There were great efforts made to provide better drainage at the 24/124 intersection prior to the winter shutdown that is taking place this fall. The final paving will take place next spring. The current project completion date is scheduled for July 29th, 2016.
- I-83 Exit 4 Project- This is Interchange improvement at the exit of PA 851 in Hopewell Township and Shrewsbury Borough and is working through Preliminary Engineering. PennDOT is currently performing an analysis of either a divergent diamond or a tightened diamond alternative by evaluating a variety of factors. A public meeting will be held this December or January. An alternative selection will take place thereafter. This project is coordinated with a companion project that includes working with the developer for Johnson Controls and includes \$1M of TIF money of the Transportation Infrastructure Investment Funds. This project is estimated at over \$16 M in construction with an estimated let date January 20, 2018. Mr. Ron Miller commented that originally the project involved lengthening the lanes at the exits, especially the northbound exit ramp, due to stacking back to the main line. He asked if that has been taken off the table now that the project has been expedited and the bridge is now of concern. Mr. Berger said that he did not have the answer but would look into it.
- I-83 Exit 24 Study Implementation- There are actually three projects associated with this study. Two include the Church Road Corridor Study and the area between Exits 24 and 28. The Department awarded Notice to Proceed in August of this year to Mc Mann Associates, an engineering firm out of Camp Hill. In October a kickoff meeting will be held to review the study scope. Upon completion of the study, an implementation phase will set in motion the projects which will result from the study. Ms. Dell asked if the kickoff meeting will be a public or internal meeting to which Mr. Berger shared this is an internal meeting.

6. **2015 TIP Modifications**- Beth Raves, PennDOT Central Office shared that as of the August meeting, three Tip Modifications have been processed. The first consist of moving CMAQ funds for the SRTP Rideshare Program in York to the HATS TIP for SRTP invoicing. The second item involves #2 -#4 of page 14 (Ducktown Road Bridge & Highway Reserve), will be adding the UTL and ROW phases for MPMS 81041in FFY 2016. These projects consists of a bridge replacement on State Route 2021 over Kruetz Creek in Hellam Township. The third item is the movement of \$61,000 from the construction phase of the Church Road Bridge over I-83 to the construction phase of the Indian Rock Damn Road Bridge. Both projects were let on September 17th, 2015.

7. **Candidate Projects-**

a. Project Tracking Sheet

b. Linking Planning and NEPA (LPN) Level 2 forms- Mike Pritchard stated that the list of LPN are a list of bridges and culverts which resulted from a meeting with PennDOT in August following the TIP kickoff. They were derived from the Decade of Investment provided by PennDOT. The following bridges are all state owned. Thirteen of them meet Long Range Transportation Plan Project Selection Criteria. The remaining six did not meet the Project Selection Criteria because that criteria requires the bridge to have a sufficiency rating of under 70%. They are all between 70-73%. Two of the bridges, LPN 5463 & LPN 5479 are culverts that have a few problems surrounding the actual culverts. These are being proactively handled. The other four culverts are structurally deficient and PennDOT is likely to move them from the structurally deficient list. Municipalities where the bridges are located were contacted seeking input as it relates to events, detour issues, farm traffic etc., in developing the scope of projects. Felton Borough (LPN 5426) responded that there is a street fair and farm traffic to be considered with this bridge. West Manheim Township (LPN 5428) responded to Mr. Pritchard with concerns regarding the detour created during construction since there are a number of people commuting to the Hunt Valley area. The staff recommendation is to move forward with the selected LPN's to the Long Range Plan, then revisit the LPN's during TIP development, and pick from the overall list based on available funding and priority. Any potential projects not programmed on the 2017 TIP will still be on the Long Range Plan list to review. Mr. Will Clark raised a question regarding LPN 5410 (Muddy Creek Bridge), stating that there are two bridges at that location both of which were built roughly around the same time and asks the Department to include the other bridge as part of the LPN level two form in assessing the scope of the project. Municipal considerations will be added to the LPN forms so that the information will be passed on to the project managers. ***Mr. Clark moved to recommend that all the projects listed move forward to the Long Range Plan list with those additions made to the LPN forms as stated. Mr. Berger seconded the motion. There being no discussion, the motion passed.***

- i. LPN 5399, Canal Rd Ext Bridge, Conewago Township
- ii. LPNN 5405, SR 177 over Beaver Creek, Warrington Township
- iii. LPN 5407, Tributary to Bermudian Creek 2, Franklin Township
- iv. LPN 5410, Muddy Creek Bridge, East Hopewell Township
- v. LPN 5422, Springwood Rd Bridge, York Township

- vi. LPN 5425, SR 1019 O/Diversion Channel, East Manchester Township
- vii. LPN 5426, Red Lion Avenue, Felton Borough
- viii. LPN 5427, SR 2014 over Beaver Creek, Lower Windsor Township
- ix. LPN 5428, Blue Hill Rd Bridge, Manheim Township
- x. LPN 5431, Westminster Ave Bridge, Penn Township
- xi. LPN 5432, Swamp Rd Culvert, North Hopewell Township
- xii. LPN 5433, Pierceville Rd Bridge, Codorus Township
- xiii. LPN 5436, US 15 over Yellow Breeches Creek, Carroll Township
- xiv. LPN 5437, Stamper Rd Bridge, Chanceford Township
- xv. LPN 5438, Woodbine Rd Bridge, Fawn Township
- xvi. LPN 5439, Pleasant Grove Rd Bridge, Chanceford & Windsor Townships
- xvii. LPN 5440, Pleasant Grove Rd Bridge 2, Chanceford Township
- xviii. LPN 5463, SR 2051 Miller Rd, Peach Bottom Township
- xix. LPN 5479, SR 851 Bryansville Culvert, Peach Bottom Township

8. **Functional Class Update**- Beth Nidam provided an update regarding the Functional Class Roadways reminding everyone that back in 2013, a comprehensive review of all the Functional Class Roadways was completed and 158 change requests were submitted to PennDOT Central Office. PennDOT Central Office reviewed our submission and returned 4 comments at the end of July. All change requests submitted were approved. The comments had to do with changes to the National Highway System, which have been difficult to figure out because any changes to the National Highway System will carry along with it funding implications relating to MAP 21. PennDOT Central Office has asked us to amend our submissions so that we can move forward with the Functional Class change requests, and those can then be submitted to FHWA. This will allow us to continue working on the National Highway System. Ms. Nidam shared in the next two weeks, they will be meeting with PennDOT Central Office together with Adams County to brainstorm what the National Highway System should look like in York and Adams County allowing for revisions to the original request and having a clearer picture in terms of how the NHPP funding will be affected. Moving forward, Ms. Nidam stated that the submissions need to be amended and approved by the MPO. *A motion was made by Ron Miller to remove any National Highway System change requests from our Functional Class Submission, moving forward with the other Functional Class change submissions separately. The motion was seconded by Representative Seth Grove. There being no changes or additions, the motion passed.*

9. **Congestion Management Process**-

- a. **Annual Report on Congestion**- Ms. Nidam reminded the committee it is time to get started on next year's Annual Report on Congestion. There are a lot of resources used on generating the report which includes utilizing TomTom data which runs information on an every two-year basis. Since generating the report is labor intensive in reviewing and assessing all data used to generate the report, Ms. Nidam, would like to amend the Congestion Management Process to a biannual report so that it is more efficiently tied into a TIP update with no overlap. Therefore they would be ranked every two years rather than every year. Mr. Clark added a formal change to the Congestion Management Process will be brought along to the next meeting.

- b. **Safety Factors Update-** Ms. Nidam shared that when we initially presented the Annual Report on Congestion, we had not yet received the most current high-crash safety locations. Ms. Nidam states that the list was recently received and distributed revisions of Table 2 and Table 3 for the Annual Report on Congestion that include new columns on the right hand side showing which intersections are included in any of the locations. Going into next week, updates will be made to the online version as it pertains to those high-crash safety and corridors.

- c. **Investment Decisions-** Ms. Nidam pointed out the memo in the agenda that highlights the steps in the Congestion Management Process. Items previously programmed on the TIP were removed because they will need to be reevaluated at a later date. Those left over were factored by Per Vehicle Delay & Peak Hour Volume Delay. Having listed the items in order by rank, Ms. Nidam stated that there are a total of seven intersections that also appeared on both lists and six intersections that included intersections from both lists. The staff recommendation consists of two options: at least take the top intersections that appear on both lists and initiate the LPN process, or to include all thirteen in the LPN process. Mr. Clark noted that TIP development begins next month so the candidate forms, along with different alternatives, would have to be prepared. Since these will likely not make the 2017 TIP Update in time, we can look at it as a four year program 2017-2020, then two years out in 2019 & 2020, can set aside funds for the implementation of the highest priorities resulting from this list. *Representative Grove moved that all thirteen intersections begin the LPN process as prioritized knowing they will be the list utilized in the future in terms of the four year TIP with Congestion Management funds. Jim Gross seconded the motion. There being no corrections or additions, the motion passed.*

10. **TAP Update-** Jeph Rebert shared the following updates:

- a. **Ma & Pa Community Greenway-** Jeph Rebert shared that there is an amendment to this project which was submitted to the program. The sponsors wish to amend the project and scope. As stated in the YAMPO TAP Guidelines, changes must be reviewed by the MPO. Diane Price, Red Lion Borough, explained that the amendment includes running the project to Locust Street as opposed to Yoe Borough because York Township was not able to secure an easement. With the addition across Locust Street, there would be 435 units of senior housing that would become more accessible. The Easement from Gishner has been secured to get the project completely through. The impact would remain the same despite the proposed amendment removing roughly 2 blocks from the original plan. Additionally Mrs. Price shared there are trails used by the locals that run throughout the surrounding developments and around the Senior Center and the Post Office. Mr. Miller asked if the trails were to be connected, would there be any restrictions with the public at large utilizing the trails. Ms. Price shared there are sidewalks currently being used now; however, they are private streets. Ms. Dell also asked if there is a Homeowners Association (HOA) in this area, and if there is any form of agreement with the HOA? Ms. Price shared while there is a HOA for that area, but they have not been approached. Mr. Rebert distributed a memo which reflected the cost adjustments for the amendment which included a reduction from \$504, 000 to an anticipated \$387,600. In addition, there is a correction of 1.2 miles instead of .8 miles to be addressed in the memo. Mr. Rebert shared

the cost adjustments will free up TAP funds for other projects. Mr. Clark also pointed out that while the contingency items had the right of way easements, there should be assurance that all local funding has been secured to do the non-construction items. Mr. Clark added there is a date in November to have all those contingency items in place. This will provide the Borough with assurance that the reduction in scope is approved as long as the contingency is met which means local funding to do the preconstruction and construction consulting services are available. Therefore the staff recommendation is to take action on the amended scope while still keeping the one contingency in place. ***Mr. Gross so moved to approve the proposed amendment reducing the scope for Ma & Pa Greenway with the contingency items still attached to it and also maintaining the original November deadline. Mr. Miller seconded the motion. There being no corrections or additions, the motion passed.***

- b. Notice of Intent (NOI) Submissions-** Mr. Rebert shared the proposed project list below all qualified for consideration onto the next step being the application process. Applications will be due back by November 16th which will prompt an evaluation period. Interviews with prospective project sponsors. Of these interviews, recommendations will be made to the MPO in February. In the pre-application process for 2019-2020:
- i. Project Restoration of the section house in the area of the Ma & Pa Preservation Society in Lower Chanceford Township. This project consists of rehabilitating the house converting it to a tourist attraction.
 - ii. The George Street Project for the City of York- will reduce the number of traffic lanes from 4 lanes down to 2 lanes in between Arch Street and Parkway Boulevard. This will provide additional areas for sidewalk improvements and bicycle lanes.
 - iii. Hellam Regional Park Trail- located at the Northern end of Hellam Borough and approximately a mile long. The Recreation Parks Commission has been working on this project for a long time and are interested in building the trail utilizing these funds.
 - iv. Spring Grove Borough- consists of a streetscape improvement plan. They have received funding from the PennDOT multimodal funding program and are interested in additional funding in the amount of \$100,000 for this project.
 - v. York Township Trolley Line Trail Project- a recreational project linking the municipal complex with the York Township Community Park.
- c. Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan-** Mr. Pritchard reminded everyone of the initial presentation of the Bicycle/Pedestrian plan during the last meeting which ensures all pedestrian and bicycle paths are accessible. Mr. Pritchard briefly reviewed key points of the plan reminding everyone there are 10 focus areas throughout the county which are mainly urbanized areas. These focus points were derived from the bicycle pedestrian data collected. Mr. Pritchard stated the plan went out to the York County Transportation Task

Force as well as to the Rail Trail having received no feedback on the actual plan. Mr. Pritchard also shared that we are beginning the Transit Development Plan process, which this can be a part of in terms of planning coordination. Mr. Clark added that the PA Bicycle Pedestrian Task Force kicked-off their first meeting and are charged with developing a PennDOT policy ensuring Bicycle/Pedestrian safety. The Transportation Advisory Committee is currently working on a policy and anticipate a draft by summer of next year. This policy will guide PennDOT as to when, where, and how to invest in Bicycle / Pedestrian efforts. Ms. Dell thanked Mr. Pritchard for the information presented from the Bicycle / Pedestrian plan and shared that a motion is needed to accept the plan as presented. ***Mr. Miller moved to accept the Bicycle and Pedestrian plan as presented and seconded by Representative Grove. There being no further discussion, the motion passed.***

11. **YAMPO Committee Structure**- Ms. Dell welcomed Mr. Clark to lead the group in a discussion about the evaluation of the proposed single committee structure. Mr. Clark stated that the trial Joint Meeting structure began in December of last year, adding that this is the fourth meeting as a Joint Committee. Mr. Clark referenced Mr. Jason Snyder's letter (included in the agenda packet) regarding the option of ending the trial period and transitioning to a permanent Joint Committee structure. Mr. Snyder stated that at the present time, the Technical Committee members are without a vote on issues, and can only provide comments during discussion. Mr. Snyder shared that he believes the Joint Committee structure is working well and believes there is no need for separate committees. Mr. Clark reminded the group that the initial schedule gave the group a decision point in December of this year.

In Mr. Jim Arey (Chairman of the YAMPO Coordinating Committee) of PennDOT Central Office's absence, Mr. Clark shared Mr. Arey's concern that the group has not yet met with an agenda that is more technical in nature. Mr. Arey felt such an agenda would allow the Technical Committee members to get more in-depth with planning. Additionally, Mr. Clark added that Mr. Arey is in agreement with the proposed plan to change the Bylaws to give the six regional representatives of the Technical Committee voting power at future Joint Committee meetings.

Currently, the Bylaws state that when a vote is called at the Joint Meeting, only the Coordinating Committee voting members vote. YAMPO staff proposed a change to the Section IV; D of the YAMPO Bylaws stating:

Section IV; D. Meetings – Joint Meetings: During joint meetings, a quorum shall consist of 2/3 or 9 members from the Coordinating Committee members (8) and the Municipal Representatives (6) from the Technical Committee. A simple majority of the quorum (5 votes) is necessary to approve a measure.

Therefore, a simple majority of a quorum would consist of five votes.

While there is no time limit in terms of a trial Joint Committee, Mr. Clark stated it would be ideal to decide which route to go with once the TIP developments are approved in June of next year.

Mr. Miller shared that the language in the proposed Bylaw changes may need to be tweaked to reflect that two-thirds of all (14) members are needed, rather than the current language which states two-thirds of nine members 'or' nine members of the Technical or Coordinating Committees. The change in the language would allow for a total of nine members in total from both Committees. Mr. Miller added this language can potentially affect whether or not there is a quorum although the simple majority of a quorum still amounts to five. Representative Grove asked how the group plans to address agendas with significant technical discussion for a Joint Committee. Ms. Dell shared that under the proposed system, a unified MPO would act as the voting body with the ability to assign specific or technical items to subcommittees.

Ms. Dell opened the discussion to the audience. Representative Stan Saylor shared that he is partial towards maintaining separate committees with the ability of meeting jointly periodically or yearly. He added that municipalities have a greater voice and voting rights with standing and separate committee meetings. Representative Saylor was part of the MPO members who changed the makeup of the structure years ago when issues such as tax increases were proposed and municipal representatives did not have votes on the issues. Representative Saylor added that the purpose of changing the structure of the committees had to do with highway projects in York County that were not being accomplished as effectively as they could have been. Changing the structure of the committees allowed for YAMPO members to influence and contribute in the decision making process or vote without the concern that legislators could go around the process and simply approach the Secretary of the Department of Transportation or the Governor and make changes to plans previously decided upon by YAMPO. Representative Saylor stated that by changing the structure again, the votes will be diluted, which could result in YAMPO becoming a non-entity, unable to influence legislative votes for higher taxes or higher registration fees. Representative Saylor reminded the group that York County has been recognized for unity. In fact, Lancaster is an example of another local government trying to follow the York County model in its attempt to be more successful. He added that getting more local government involvement is important.

Mr. Clark stated that the concept being considered is that when an agenda item becomes too technical, the Chairman of the Coordinating Committee could appoint a subcommittee to work on the item and bring back any recommendations. Thus, there would be no standing committees and whoever would want to participate in the subcommittee would be invited to participate. Mr. Clark shared that his office has a history document that shows the progression of the MPO and member changes of the MPO throughout the years that he will distribute to the MPO following this meeting.

Representative Grove shared that the group may not yet be ready to move forward as of this date with a permanent Joint Committee structure. ***Representative Grove moved to push the trial period back one more meeting and have additional discussion regarding the proposed change of the YAMPO Committee structure in December after the language in the Bylaws has been cleared up. Mr. Miller seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, the motion passed.***

12. Other Business-

- a. **Master Reimbursement Agreement-** Mr. Clark shared that PennDOT has signed the contract with the York County Commissioners that would allow reimbursement for work of the MPO. There is \$500,000 per year available for signal timing. A draft advertisement for the consultant selection process has been submitted to PennDOT for review. Once this is approved, it will be added into the ECMS system, and a consultant will be identified in completing work orders or prioritizing which corridors from the CMP need signal timing first. Additionally, there will be a discussion in the near future regarding LPN work for projects recommending to the TIP or PennDOT.
- b. **2017 TIP Update-** Mr. Clark shared that there is a meeting with PennDOT District Office on October 6th, 2015 to discuss the current TIP projects, TIP projects requiring additional funding, and anticipated TIP projects completed. The first TIP work session is scheduled for November 5th, 2015. Both Committee members are invited to attend and participate in the process. The 2017 TIP Update will approved next spring for public comment and then approved at the June MPO meeting.
- c. **Indian Rock Dam Road Flooding Evaluation-** Mr. Rebert shared that the flood gauge information for the Codorus Creek section of Indian Rock Dam Road is being looked at to see whether the growth coincides with the flood gauge at a certain elevation and establish a baseline for this study as per the county-wide flood and roadway study. More information will be provided in December. Representative Grove asked for a status of the flooded roadway committee. Mr. Rebert responded sharing that information was collected from all municipalities including historic data from 2009-2014. Data for the first six months of this year were also collected and all information has been placed into a matrix table to be presented to the committee. Mr. Rebert stated he is currently in the process of coordinating a meeting at the end of this month going into next month. Mrs. Beth Raves added that many of the municipalities across the state have been participating in quarterly meetings with Mike Baker of PennDOT to discuss air quality and extreme weather stating it would be interesting to see those efforts and this study overlap since DEP did not address extreme weather effects and maintenance in their recent changes.
- d. **rabbittransit Zarfoss project-** Ms. Jena Reedy shared that rabbittransit received noticed regarding the Federal 2016 Flex funds have been flexed to the Zarfoss Facility Project. Ms. Reedy also shared that after this flex is exhausted, they will still be about \$1Million dollars short and are currently working with PennDOT to identify additional funds.
- e. Mr. Clark stated that North Hills Road has had continued media coverage regarding the additional northbound lane and the overhead signage. Mr. Clark stated PennDOT may have ordered some additional signs but may require some modifications during the interim in rerouting commuters into the left lane since there are issues with the current sign and those ordered.

13. **Upcoming Meetings-**

- a. Joint Meeting: December 3rd, 2015
- b. TIP Work Sessions: November 5 and December 17th, 2015

14. **Adjournment-** The meeting adjourned at 11:13A.M

Respectfully Submitted,

Tanisha Silvagnoli,
Administrative Assistant, YCPC

ATTENDANCE

York Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (YAMPO)
 Combined Technical and Coordinating Committee Meeting (*Joint Committee*)

| Voting Authority & Alternate (& # of Votes) | Member Name | Attended? |
|--|---------------------------|------------------|
| PennDOT (2) | | |
| Central Office: | Larry Shifflet | |
| Alternates: | Jim Arey | |
| | Adam Grimes | |
| | Meribeth Raves | X |
| | Seth Leitzel | X |
| District 8-0 Office: | Mike Keiser | |
| Alternates: | Mike Gillespie | |
| | Anthony Berger | X |
| | Nathan Walker | X |
| | John Kennedy | |
| York County (2) | | |
| Commissioners: | Steve Chronister | |
| Alternates: | Chris Reilly | |
| | Doug Hoke | |
| | Felicia Dell | X |
| York County Planning Commission: | | |
| | Walter A. Kuhl | |
| | Felicia Dell, Secretary | XX |
| | Will Clark | X |
| | Joe Heffner | X |
| York City (1) | | |
| Representatives: | Kim Bracey | |
| Alternates: | Jim Gross | X |
| | Alex Hoffman | |
| York County Transportation Authority (1) | | |
| Chairman: | Raymond Rosen | |
| Alternates: | Richard Farr | |
| | Jenna Reedy | X |
| Legislative Appointments (2) | | |
| Legislator: | Representative Seth Grove | X |
| Alternate: | Wendy Leahy | X |
| Appointed Citizen: | Ron Miller | X |

| | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| Alternate 1: | Congressman Scott Perry | |
| Alternate 2: | Bob Reilly | X |
| Municipal Representatives (0) | | |
| Borough (Primary): | Mark Henise, Hallam Boro. | |
| (Primary): | Dianne Price, Red Lion Boro. | X |
| (Alternate): | Jim Sanders, Jefferson Boro. | X |
| (Alternate): | Michael Sharkey, Shrewsbury Boro. | X |
| City of York: | Kim Bracey | |
| Alternate: | Jim Gross, Chair | X |
| Township Rural: | Jason Snyder, North Hopewell Twp. | X |
| Alternate: | Tom Wolfe, Springfield Twp. | |
| Township Urban: | Eric Bortner, Penn Twp. | X |
| | Don Keener, Newberry Twp. | |
| | Elizabeth Heathcote, York Twp. | X |
| Alternate: | | |
| Advisory Members (0) | | |
| Federal Transit Admin: | Deborah Purnell-Butler | |
| Federal Highway Administration: | Dan Walston | X |
| FAA-Airport District Office: | Lori Ledebom | |
| PennDOT Bureau of Aviation: | Edie Letherby | |
| PennDOT Bureau of Public Transportation | Brandon Eshennour | |
| Other Planning Partners (0) | | |
| Adams County Planning | Nick Colonna | |
| Bicycle Access Council | Joe Stafford | |
| Capital City Airport | David Spaulding | |
| Commuter Services of PA | Jessica Bosley | X |
| Genessee & Wyoming, Inc. | Kim Smith | |
| Lancaster Co Planning Commission | Dave Royer | |
| Tri-County Regional Planning Commission | Tim Reardon | |
| BR TB/Baltimore County | Emery Hines | |
| Maryland Midway Railway | Jonathan Rudman | |
| York County Rail Trail Authority | Gwen Loose | |
| Other Persons Attending (0) | | |
| Rep. Phillips-Hill | Representative Kristen Phillips-Hill | X |
| Rep. Saylor's Office | Representative Stan Saylor | X |
| Rep. Saylor's Office | JoAnn Shovlin | X |
| Rep. Schreiber's Office | Bronley Martin | X |
| Senator Wagman's Office | Nadine Hubner | |
| Rep. Regan's Office | Amy Holjes | X |

| | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| State Transportation Commission | Jimmy Kingsborough | X |
| Adam's County MPO | Andrew Merkel | X |
| Red Lion Borough | Karla Farrel | X |
| Erdman Anthony | Bots Leonard | X |
| KCI | Paul Mc Namee | X |
| Chris Laba | Adams County | X |
| C.S. Davidson | John Klinedinst | X |
| TRG, Inc | Jon Seitz | |
| Albeck Gerken, Inc | Steve Hetrick | |
| Private Citizen | Jim Holley | |
| York County Rail Trail Authority | Gwen Loose | X |
| York County Planning Commission | Mike Pritchard | X |
| York County Planning Commission | Heather Bitner | |
| York County Planning Commission | Beth Artman | X |
| York County Planning Commission | Jeph Rebert | X |
| York County Planning Commission | Tanisha Silvagnoli | X |